

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,261	12/07/2004	Shinya Tabata	2004 1823A	8744	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P., 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			EXAMINER		
			SAUNDERS JR, JOSEPH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2615		
			· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,261	TABATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph Saunders	2615	

	Joseph Saunders	2615							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL	unliance with 27 CED 41 27 must be	s filad within two man	the of the data						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			because						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	**	o . aducina or cimplifyina	the issues for						
appeal; and/or	etter form for appear by materially re	saucing or simplifying	ille issues ioi						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.							
NOTE: See attached Detailed Action. (See 37 C	FR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).									
7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.									
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-53</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:									
·									

Application/Control Number: 10/517,261

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed March 8, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that one having ordinary skill in the art would not have determined Takahashi et al. to disclose a foamed resin including independent and continuous foam. Applicant however fails to clarify what art the one of ordinary skill would be applicable to. Further while it may be true that one would interpret having "polyamide foam material is a continuous foam material having an independent foam ratio of one percent or less" to mean that the polyamide foam should preferably be a completely continuous foam resin since it is apparently very difficult to cost-effectively completely destroy all the independent foam during the manufacturing process, if true what Applicant is admitting is that due to the difficulty in producing 100 percent continuous foam, most foam would contain "both" independent and continuous foam and therefore the percentage of independent foam to continuous foam would be of significant value to the patentability of a product containing "both" independent and continuous foam. However the applicant does not disclose a ratio and only discloses that "both" independent and continuous foam are present, which is clearly anticipated by Takahashi et al. The drawings provide inconclusive evidence that the ratio of independent foam to continuous foam is more than an insubstantial amount since the figures are not to scale. Finally Takashi et al. does not disclose that the skin layer is a

Application/Control Number: 10/517,261 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

necessity in all situations, but only that it is necessary for sufficient reproduction of high frequencies and therefore does not provide clear proof that the ratio of independent to continuous foam does not have an effect on improving the lower frequency characteristics. Once again, Applicant's inability to clearly disclose what ratio of independent to continuous foam is critical for the benefit of forming a gas-tight structure, results in the limitation being anticipated by Takahashi et al.

The proposed amendment of "said edge being a separate member relative to said diaphragm and bonded thereto," will not be entered because the amendment raises new issues that would require further search and consideration since the limitation of the edge being separate from the diaphragm was previously not presented in the claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Saunders whose telephone number is (571) 270-1063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/517,261

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS

March 22, 2007